

Application No.: 10/669,390Case No.: 58625US002**INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

Applicant's representative Bradford B. Wright gratefully acknowledges two telephonic interviews with Examiner Russell S. Negin on November 28, 2005 for the purpose of clarifying the nature of the present Election of Species requirement, and wherein the essence of this response was discussed.

Application No.: 10/669,390

Case No.: 58625US002

REMARKS

Claims 1-25 are pending.

Election/Restriction Requirement

The Patent Office asserts that the present application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Category #1:

Specie A: One pharmaceutical is compared to a plurality of compounds. (Claim 1)

Specie B: A plurality of pharmaceuticals is compared to a plurality of compounds.
(Claim 1)

Category #2:

Specie F: A single model compound is used in the composition. (Claim 1)

Specie G: A plurality of model compounds is used in the composition. (Claim 25)

The Patent Office requires Applicant to choose one specie from each category.

In response Applicants elect Category #1, Specie A and Category #2, Specie F, without traverse. It is believed that Claim 1 is generic to the elected specie. It is further believed that Claims 1-24 read on the elected specie.

Should the Examiner determine that a telephone interview would be beneficial in resolving any of the issues in this case, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned attorney at the telephone number noted below.

Respectfully submitted,

Nov. 28, 2005
Date

By: Bradford B. Wright
Bradford B. Wright, Reg. No.: 34,459
Telephone No.: 651-736-4172

Office of Intellectual Property Counsel
3M Innovative Properties Company
Facsimile No.: 651-736-3833